ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Const. Petition Nos.D-3060/2016, 3061/2016, 3062/2016, 3063/2016, 3064/2016, 3065/2016, 3066/2016, 3067/2016, 3068/2016, 3069/2016, 3070/2016, 3071/2016, 3181/2016, 3182/2016, 3183/2016, 3184/2016, 3185/2016, 3186/2016, 3187/2016, 3188/2016, 3189/2016, 3190/2016, 3191/2016, 3287/2016, 3288/2016, 3289/2016, 3290/2016, 3291/2016, 3292/2016, 3293/2016, 3294/2016, 3295/2016, 3582/2016, 3583/2016, 3584/2016, 3585/2016. 3586/2016, 3587/2016, 3588/2016, 3589/2016, 3590/2016, 3591/2016, 3592/2016, 3593/2016, 3594/2016, 3595/2016, 3596/2016, 3597/2016, 3598/2016, 3599/2016, 3918/2016, 3919/2016, 3920/2016, 3921/2016, 3922/2016, 3923/2016, 3924/2016, 3925/2016, 3926/2016, 3927/2016, 3928/2016, 3929/2016, 3995/2016, 3996/2016, 3997/2016, 3998/2016, 3999/2016, 4000/2016, 4001/2016, 4002/2016, 4003/2016, 4004/2016, 4005/2016, 4006/2016, 4007/2016, 4008/2016, 4009/2016, 4010/2016, 4011/2016, 4012/2016, 4411/2016, 4412/2016, 4413/2016, 4414/2016, 4415/2016, 4416/2016, 4417/2016, 4418/2016, 4419/2016, 4420/2016, 4661/2016, 4662/2016, 4663/2016, 4664/2016, 4665/2016, 4852/2016, 4853/2016, 4854/2016, 4855/2016, 4856/2016, 4857/2016, 4858/2016, 4859/2016, 4860/2016, 4861/2016, 4862/2016, 4863/2016, 4864/2016, 4865/2016, 4866/2016, 4867/2016, 4868/2016, 4869/2016, 4870/2016, 4871/2016, 4872/2016, 4873/2016, 4874/2016, 4875/2016, 4876/2016, 4877/2016, 4878/2016, 4879/2016, 4880/2016, 4881/2016, 4882/2016, 4883/2016, 4884/2016, 4885/2016, 4886/2016, 4887/2016, 4888/2016, 4889/2016, 4890/2016, 4891/2016, 4892/2016, 4893/2016, 4894/2016, 4895/2016, 4896/2016, 4897/2016, 4898/2016, 4899/2016, 4900/2016, 4901/2016, 4902/2016, 4903/2016, 4904/2016, 4905/2016, 4906/2016, 4907/2016, 4908/2016, 4921/2016, 4922/2016, 4923/2016, 4924/2016, 4925/2016, 4926/2016, 4927/2016, 4928/2016, 4929/2016, 4930/2016, 4931/2016, 4932/2016, 4933/2016, 4934/2016, 4935/2016, 4936/2016, 4937/2016, 4938/2016, 4939/2016, 4940/2016, 4941/2016, 4942/2016, 4943/2016, 4944/2016, 4945/2016, 4946/2016, 4947/2016, 4948/2016, 4949/2016, 4950/2016, 4951/2016, 5073/2016, 5074/2016, 5075/2016, 5076/2016, 5077/2016, 5078/2016, 5079/2016, 5080/2016, 5081/2016, 5082/2016, 5083/2016, 5084/2016, 5085/2016, 5086/2016, 5087/2016, 5088/2016, 5089/2016, 5090/2016, 5123/2016, 5124/2016, 5125/2016, 5126/2016, 5127/2016, 5128/2016, 5129/2016, 5162/2016, 5163/2016, 5164/2016, 5165/2016, 5166/2016, 5167/2016, 5168/2016, 5169/2016, 5170/2016, 5171/2016, 5172/2016, 5173/2016, 5174/2016, 5175/2016, 5176/2016, 5204/2016, 3276/2016, 7387/2015, AND 7659/2015

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

MR. JUSTICE MUHAMMAD ALI MAZHAR
MR. JUSTICE ARSHAD HUSSAIN KHAN

29.09.2016

Syed Ansar Hussain Zaidi and
Mr. Saud Ahmed Advocates for Petitioners in all petitions
M/s. Zia-ul-Haq Makhdoom and
Azhar Mehmood, Advocates for Respondent No.1 in all petitions alongwith
Mr. S. M. Hassan Meerza, S.M. Legal (South) PTCL
Shaikh Liaquat Hussain Standing Counsel

MUHAMMAD ALI MAZHAR, J: The Petitioners have prayed that they have qualified their length of services for payment of their pensionary benefits, commutations and other facilities under Section 36 of the Pakistan Telecommunication (Re-organization) Act, 1996.

Today, various bunches of Petitions are fixed with identical prayers at Sr. Nos. 25 and 27 in Main Cause List while at Sr. Nos.35, 36, 37, 40, 41 and 49 in the Additional Cause List and some cases in Supplementary Cause List. Earlier also, similar and identical petitions were disposed off by this Court vide Order dated 16.05.2016. In the same lines, the learned counsel for Respondent No.1 has filed a statement showing 226 petitions and it is clearly mentioned in this statement that Respondent No.1 shall consider Petitioners` cases for the payment of their pensionary benefits according to law, rules and regulations and shall decide the their cases within six months. This statement has also been signed by learned counsel for Petitioners and Mr. S.M. Hassan Meerza, Senior Manager Legal (South) Business Zone PTCL. Since the parties have mutually agreed and settled the modality to deal with the matter of pensionary benefits amicably outside the court, therefore, by consent these petitions are disposed of alongwith pending application in terms of aforesaid statement.

JUDGE

JUDGE